

ASSESSMENT PLAN & REPORT RUBRIC: Non-Academic Units

The University Assessment Council will use this rubric to determine the overall quality of assessment plans for academic units and programs in order to identify areas of noted success and opportunities for improvement.

Review the assessment plans and reports:

- Step 1: Log in to WEAVEonline and access the assigned department / program.
- Step 2: Complete questions 1-3 below (Program name; Date reviewed; and Reviewer [your name])
- Step 3: Using the rubric (beginning on page 2 of this document):
 - o Identify whether that section of the assessment plan is *Exemplary, Acceptable,* or *Developing*. If the item is not present, please indicate this in the Notes section.
 - o Provide any additional recommendations that may assist the program / unit in updating their plans and reports.
 - o Complete the rubric for each of the cycles listed (2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015). NOTE: the Findings / Action Plans for 2014-15 may not yet be included in the plans you review.
- Step 4: Tally the results and record them below (questions 4 and 5)
- Step 5: SAVE this assessment review ("Your Last Name_Academic Unit Reviewed", for example "Hagan_EnglishPhD), and then email it to alise@louisiana.edu by April 20, 2015.

	Before you complete the review, please complete questions 1-3:	
1.	Program:	
2.	Date Reviewed:	For Assessment Office Only:
3.	Reviewer:	Assessment Plan: Developing (0-9); Acceptable (10-18); Exemplary (19-27)
		Assessment Report: Developing (0-4); Acceptable (5-8); Exemplary (9-12)
	After you complete the review, complete questions 4:	Overall: Developing (0-13); Acceptable (14-26); Exemplary (27-39)
4.	Assessment Cycle Thresholds: Please tally the scores for each cycle and list them here:	Overall: Developing (0-13), Acceptable (14-20), Exemplary (27-33)

	Assessment Plan			Assessmo	ent Report	
	Objectives / Outcomes	Measures	Achievement Targets	Findings	Action Plans	Overall, does this unit tell its story through assessment? Does it use findings and action plans to influence future decisions?
2012-13						
2013-14						
2014-15						
TOTALS						
Total combined			1			



ASSESSMENT PLAN & REPORT RUBRIC: Academic Units

2012-13 Cycle

ASSESSMENT PLAN (Outcomes / Objectives; Measures; Achievement Targets)

Outcomes / Objectives: Speci	fic statements that articulate how the unit support	orts / achieves the university's mission, the uni	it's mission, and/or facilitates student success.
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that objectives were present in this cycle	Describe a process, rather than an outcome Unclear how an evaluator could determine whether the outcome has been met Incomplete – not addressing the breadth of services associated with the unit Outcomes identified don't seem aligned with the unit's mission Fails to note appropriate associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities)	Observable and measurable Encompass the mission of the unit Aligned with university and vice president's mission Appropriate, but language may be vague or need revision	Observable and measurable Reasonable number of outcomes identified – enough to adequately encompass the mission while still being manageable to evaluate and assess Uses action verbs Aligned with university and corresponding vice president's mission Accurately classified as "not student learning" Associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities) are identified, where appropriate
Reviewer notes or recommer	ndations about Outcomes / Objectives		are identified, where appropriate

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that	Not all outcomes have associated measures	At least 1 measure or measurement	Multiple measures for some or all outcomes
measures were present	Few or no direct measures used	approach per outcome	Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct
in this cycle	Methodology is questionable	Direct and indirect measures are utilized	Instruments reflect good research methodology
	Instruments are vaguely described; may not	Described with sufficient detail	Feasible – existing practices used where possible; at leas
	be developed yet	Implementation may still need further	some measures apply to multiple outcomes
	Do not seem to capture the "end of experience"	planning	Purposeful – clear how results could be used for unit
	effect of the unit		improvement
			Described with sufficient detail (documents attached in
			Document Repository, where appropriate)

Reviewer notes or recommendations about Measures:



Compares new findings to past trends, as appropriate Supporting documentation (rubrics, surveys, more complete reports, etc.) are included in the document

repository

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that	Targets have not been identified for every	Aligned with measures and outcomes	Aligned with measures and outcomes
achievement targets were	measure, or are not aligned with the measure	Target identified for each measure	Represent a reasonable level of success
present in this cycle	Seem off-base (too high / too low)	Specific and measurable	Specific and measurable
	Language is vague or subjective (e.g.:	Some targets may seem arbitrary	Meaningful (based on benchmarks, previous results
	"improve", "satisfactory" making it difficult to		existing standards)
	tell if met.)		
	Aligned with assessment process rather than		
	results (e.g. survey return rate)		

Findings: A concise summary of the results gathered from a given assessment measure.

ASSESSMENT REPORT (Findings; Action Plans)

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that findings	Incomplete or too much information	Complete and organized	Complete, concise and well-organized
were present in this cycle	Not clearly aligned with achievement targets	Align with the language of the	Appropriate data collection / analysis
	Questionable conclusion about whether	corresponding achievement target	Align with the language of the corresponding
	targets were met, partially met, or not met	Address whether targets were met	achievement target
	Questionable data collection / analysis; may	May contain too much detail or stray	Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially
	"gloss over" data to arrive at conclusion	slightly from intended data set	met, or not met

Reviewer notes or recommendations about Findings:

Action Plans: Actions to be take	en to improve the program or assessment proces	s based on analysis of results.	
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that action plans were present in this cycle	Not clearly related to assessment results Seems to offer excuses for results rather than thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement No action plan or too many to manage Too general; lacking details (e.g. time frame, responsible party)	Reflects with sufficient depth on what was learned during the assessment cycle At least one action plan in place	Exhibits an understanding of the implications of assessment findings Identifies an area that needs to be monitored, remediated, or enhanced and defines logical "next steps" Possibly identifies an area of the assessment process that needs improvement Contains completion dates Identifies a responsible person/group Number of action plans are manageable
Reviewer notes or recommend	lations about Action Plans:		·

ASSESSMENT PLAN & REPORT RUBRIC: Academic Units

2013-14 Cycle

ASSESSMENT PLAN (Outcomes / Objectives; Measures; Achievement Targets)

Outcomes / Objectives: Spec	ific statements that articulate how the unit supp	orts / achieves the university's mission, the uni	it's mission, and/or facilitates student success.
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that objectives were present in this cycle	Describe a process, rather than an outcome Unclear how an evaluator could determine whether the outcome has been met Incomplete – not addressing the breadth of services associated with the unit Outcomes identified don't seem aligned with the unit's mission Fails to note appropriate associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities)	Observable and measurable Encompass the mission of the unit Aligned with university and vice president's mission Appropriate, but language may be vague or need revision	Observable and measurable Reasonable number of outcomes identified – enough to adequately encompass the mission while still being manageable to evaluate and assess Uses action verbs Aligned with university and corresponding vice president's mission Accurately classified as "not student learning" Associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities) are identified, where appropriate

Reviewer notes or recommendations about Outcomes / Objectives:

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that	Not all outcomes have associated measures	At least 1 measure or measurement	Multiple measures for some or all outcomes
measures were present	Few or no direct measures used	approach per outcome	Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct
in this cycle	Methodology is questionable	Direct and indirect measures are utilized	Instruments reflect good research methodology
	Instruments are vaguely described; may not	Described with sufficient detail	Feasible – existing practices used where possible; at least
	be developed yet	Implementation may still need further	some measures apply to multiple outcomes
	Do not seem to capture the "end of experience"	planning	Purposeful – clear how results could be used for unit
	effect of the unit		improvement
			Described with sufficient detail (documents attached in
			Document Repository, where appropriate)

Reviewer notes or recommendations about Measures:



Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that	Targets have not been identified for every	Aligned with measures and outcomes	Aligned with measures and outcomes
achievement targets were	measure, or are not aligned with the measure	Target identified for each measure	Represent a reasonable level of success
present in this cycle	Seem off-base (too high / too low)	Specific and measurable	Specific and measurable
	Language is vague or subjective (e.g.:	Some targets may seem arbitrary	Meaningful (based on benchmarks, previous results
	"improve", "satisfactory" making it difficult to		existing standards)
	tell if met.)		,
	Aligned with assessment process rather than		
	results (e.g. survey return rate)		

ASSESSMENT REPORT (Findings; Action Plans)

Findings: A concise summary	of the results gathered from a given assessment r	measure.	
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that findings were present in this cycle	Incomplete or too much information Not clearly aligned with achievement targets Questionable conclusion about whether targets were met, partially met, or not met Questionable data collection / analysis; may "gloss over" data to arrive at conclusion	Complete and organized Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target Address whether targets were met May contain too much detail or stray slightly from intended data set	Complete, concise and well-organized Appropriate data collection / analysis Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met Compares new findings to past trends, as appropriate Supporting documentation (rubrics, surveys, more complete reports, etc.) are included in the document
Reviewer notes or recommen	dations about Findings:		

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that action plans were present in this cycle	Not clearly related to assessment results Seems to offer excuses for results rather than thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement No action plan or too many to manage Too general; lacking details (e.g. time frame, responsible party)	Reflects with sufficient depth on what was learned during the assessment cycle At least one action plan in place	Exhibits an understanding of the implications of assessment findings Identifies an area that needs to be monitored, remediated, or enhanced and defines logical "next steps Possibly identifies an area of the assessment process that needs improvement Contains completion dates Identifies a responsible person/group Number of action plans are manageable



ASSESSMENT PLAN & REPORT RUBRIC: Academic Units

2014-15 Cycle

ASSESSMENT PLAN (Outcomes / Objectives; Measures; Achievement Targets)

Outcomes / Objectives: Specific statements that articulate how the unit supports / achieves the university's mission, the unit's mission, and/or facilitates student success.			
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that objectives were present in this cycle	Describe a process, rather than an outcome Unclear how an evaluator could determine whether the outcome has been met Incomplete – not addressing the breadth of services associated with the unit Outcomes identified don't seem aligned with the unit's mission Fails to note appropriate associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities)	Observable and measurable Encompass the mission of the unit Aligned with university and vice president's mission Appropriate, but language may be vague or need revision	Observable and measurable Reasonable number of outcomes identified – enough to adequately encompass the mission while still being manageable to evaluate and assess Uses action verbs Aligned with university and corresponding vice president's mission Accurately classified as "not student learning" Associations (to goals, standards, institutional priorities)
Reviewer notes or recommer	ndations about Outcomes / Objectives:		are identified, where appropriate

Measures: The variety of measures used to evaluate each outcome; the means of gathering data.			
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
	Not all outcomes have associated measures Few or no direct measures used Methodology is questionable Instruments are vaguely described; may not be developed yet On not seem to capture the "end of experience" effect of the unit	At least 1 measure or measurement approach per outcome Direct and indirect measures are utilized Described with sufficient detail Implementation may still need further planning	Multiple measures for some or all outcomes Direct and indirect measures used; emphasis on direct Instruments reflect good research methodology Feasible – existing practices used where possible; at least some measures apply to multiple outcomes Purposeful – clear how results could be used for unit improvement Described with sufficient detail (documents attached in Document Repository, where appropriate)

Reviewer notes or recommendations about Measures:



chievement Targets: Result, target, benchmark, or value that will represent success at achieving a given outcome.			
Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that achievement targets were present in this cycle	Targets have not been identified for every measure, or are not aligned with the measure Seem off-base (too high / too low) Language is vague or subjective (e.g.: "improve", "satisfactory" making it difficult to tell if met.) Aligned with assessment process rather than results (e.g. survey return rate)	Aligned with measures and outcomes Target identified for each measure Specific and measurable Some targets may seem arbitrary	Aligned with measures and outcomes Represent a reasonable level of success Specific and measurable Meaningful (based on benchmarks, previous results, existing standards)

ASSESSMENT REPORT (Findings; Action Plans) NOTE: The following may not yet be available in the 2014-15 cycle in the plans you review.

ndings: A concise summary of the results gathered from a given assessment measure.			
Did not observe (0) Developing (1	L) Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)	
No evidence that findings were present in this cycle Not clearly aligned with achieve Questionable conclusion about targets were met, partially met Questionable data collection / a "gloss over" data to arrive at co	ement targets whether corresponding achievement target Address whether targets were met analysis; may Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target May contain too much detail or stray	Complete, concise and well-organized Appropriate data collection / analysis Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met Compares new findings to past trends, as appropriate Supporting documentation (rubrics, surveys, more complete reports, etc.) are included in the document repository	

Did not observe (0)	Developing (1)	Acceptable (2)	Exemplary (3)
No evidence that action plans were present in this cycle	Not clearly related to assessment results Seems to offer excuses for results rather than thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement No action plan or too many to manage Too general; lacking details (e.g. time frame, responsible party)	Reflects with sufficient depth on what was learned during the assessment cycle At least one action plan in place	Exhibits an understanding of the implications of assessment findings Identifies an area that needs to be monitored, remediated, or enhanced and defines logical "next step Possibly identifies an area of the assessment process that needs improvement Contains completion dates Identifies a responsible person/group Number of action plans are manageable



